



UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/312,073

05/13/99

USUI

M

448563/163

MMC2/1127

LAWRENCE ROSENTHAL STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP 180 MAIDEN LANE NEW YORK NY 10038 NGHIEM.M

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2861

DATE MAILED:

11/27/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/312,073 Applicant(s)

Usui et al.

Examiner

Michael Nghiem

Group Art Unit 2861



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	<u> </u>
Application Papers	
🔀 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review, PTO-948.
The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved 🗖 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,
☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☑ None of the CERTIFIED copies o	f the priority documents have been
X received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur	nber)
\square received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	•
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO 153	+0
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Office Action Summary



Application/Control Number: 09/312,073

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Invention I of Figs. 2-5b,

Invention II of Figs. 6a-6c,

Invention III of Figs. 7a-11c,

Invention IV of Fig. 12,

Invention V of Fig. 13,

Invention VI of Figs. 14a-14b,

Invention VII of Figs. 15a-15b,

Invention VIII of Figs. 16a-17b,

Invention IX of Figs. 18a-21b,


Invention X of Figs. 22a-24c,

Invention XI of Figs. 25a-28d,

Invention XII of Fig. 29,

Invention XIII of Fig. 30.

The remaining figures are directed or apply to all the inventions.



Art Unit: 2861

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Ludamir Budzyn on October 25, 2000 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/312,073

Page 4

Art Unit: 2861

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Nghiem whose telephone number is (703) 306-3445. An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0956.

Michael Nghiem

October 25, 2000